2

fired the gun. It is not important in this case for you to decide who actually fired the gun, or which gun killed the three boys. It means certainly that it was Jordan that killed the three boys, because one gun put a contact shot in the three boys and there was two guns involved, three of the bullets definitely came from one gun, one of the bullets in each of the three bodies, the contact shots, came from one gun. A fourth bullet may have come from that gun, that's the bullet in the head of Chaney, the fifth bullet came from another gun, that is the bullet that went through the back of Chaney and came out and restedin the flabby part of the stomach on the front. In deciding a case of this kind you must look for collaboration of what Jordan said, you must weigh it, test it against your own common sense and experience. Jordan said, there were seven or eight men out there, Jordan said Price arrested the boys, Jordan said Price released them, Jordan said Posey talked to the Patrol, Jordan said that Price hit one of them or he thought he hit one of them as he put them in the car. Jordan said they had a plan to bury them, if one of those things is fully and completely positively collaborated and I say again that this is a conspiracy and not a

У

٥٦

It doesn't matter who fired the shots murder case. in determining the guilt or innocence of these defendants, each of or any of the defendants, rather review the testimony. I now review the testimony implicating conclusively implicating beyond a reasonable doubt each of the defendants. Sam Bowers organized the Klan. It was a tightly disciplined organization. He told Jim Jordan that Schwerner was a thorn in the side of the white man. Elimination had to be approved by the Klan, and Killen said, that three times the Imperial Wizard had approved it. After it was over, Killen said that Bowers said that this was the first time that a'Christian had ever achieved the execution of a Jew.' Sam Bowers, by his own hands, acknowledged that this plan was a Klan conspiracy. Examine, if you will, the code letter that he sent to Delmar Dennis, and I wish to call your attention again, Members of the Jury, to the circumstances under which this letter was received. In the letter was an envelope, there is a stamp on it, its been cancelled, there is a date and a place where it was sent from, there is a person listed as being the person from whom it was sent, and it is sent to Sam Bowers, 820-4th Avenue South, Laurel, This is a letter from Miller pleading Mississippi.

₽ď

to get back in the Klan after he's been abanished because, I ask you to read this letter, this letter prepared by Bowers when he talks about his relationship in dealing with the F. B. I. This is the clearest and most explicit admission of guilt in Bowers' involvement with this conspiracy and Bowers' involvement with the Klan I have ever seen. He talks about the wood business, the Klan, the -----and the truck drivers were the Klansmen, the secret organization in the Neshoba County murder, the F. B. I., the timber scaling investigation, the F. B. K. investigation, those deep in the swamp were the killers of the Civil Rights murders. Sam Bowers admitted his participation further by furnishing money secretly to Billy Wayne Posey and Wayne Roberts. The planners were Killen and Price. Price admitted his participation in it, Member of the Jury, most important, he admitted his involvement the following day to that Police Officer, Mike Hatcher, who testified Wednesday night. Mike Hatcher was the Meridian police Officer who received not one cent for his information. He was not a paid informant, he came here to testify and he testified under oath that on June 22nd, he saw Preacher Killen in a garage at the City Line Garage, 516 31st Avenue, Killen told

him on the following day, remember this from Mike Hatcher, remember his testimony, that the Civil Rights Workers had been taken care of and had been buried in a dam out from Philadelphia and that the car had been burned. Killen also stated that he was at the funeral home that night, and that, in fact, was his alibi. He organized the Klan. At a secret meeting of the Klan in Meridian, he said three times that Schwerner had been approved for elimination by the State organization. He was at the Bloomo School, he organized the group to go to the Mt. Zion Church the church for the Civil Rights Workers. Killen is right in the middle of this conspiracy, and every single person in it. Cecil Price was the lookout, his unusual patrolling, his unusual inquiries out in the Longdale community, the detention, the time of release, that time of release, the contact shot, all points conclusively to the fact that Price was right in the middle of this conspiracy. Highway Patrolman Powe testified that just after 10:25 Posey was looking for Price. Price later admitted to Dennis when he said that after the defendants were arrested for the first time that the F. B. I. knows more about this case than we thought, someone must be talking, and he concluded that James Jordan was the

ship

nan selle

- Some

8

9

1

2

3

4

6

7

10

11

13

14

15

S.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Jordan must be the man, because he was the only one 1 that could have hit Chaney that night. Price used 2 the machinery of law, his office, his power, his 3 authority, his badge, his uniform, his jail, his police car, his police gun, he used them all to take, 5 to hold, to capture and kill. He is responsible for 6 this conspiracy and accountable under law and under justice. The two recruiters were Herndon and Harris, 8 especially to the Klan in Meridian. They knew of 9 "Goatee" they had been up at the Bloomo school, they 10 knew of the plot, they were in the Klan and they made 11 the calls, they didn't go because they were officers. 12 Station Agent was Akin. He helped the boys get 13 ready. He had the cars filled up with gas, they 14 were organized at Akins. 15 BY MR. PIGFORD: 16 Object, Your Honor. 17 BY THE COURT: 18 Overruled. 19 BY MR. HENDRICKS: 20 We would like to make a motion for a mistrial. 21 BY THE COURT: 22 Well that request is overruled, Counsel, that's 23 argument, its not evidence, go along, 24

BY MR. DOAR:

He admitted this to Miller and Dennis. Miller the Mt. Zion Church was burned to get Schwerner back up there. He was present whom Kill to said that Bowers had approved the elimination of Schwerner. Billy Wayne Posey, the man driving the car knew the territory. He was at Pilgrim's Store talking to Powe at 10:26 P. M., when Laurel Weir asked Mr. Powe if he was absolutely sure it was Posey, he answered, "in my opinion, yes." He added that he had red car just like Posey, and he had chased that car when Jerry Sharpe was driving it. Posey was in the Bloomo school. Posey was out at the church, Posey said, "I thought we were after the white Civil Rights Workers that night." Posey received two five hundred payments secretly through Delmar Dennis, the receipts are in evidence.

Hop Barnett, the X-Sheriff, was the lookout man. He came to the Bluomo School on the 16th and said "the Civil Rights Workers are out at the church." He went out there and participated in the beatings of the Negro people out there when they came out of their church meeting.

Patrolman Willis, the only patrolman on duty,
was stationed just South of Philadelphia on Highway

19. He was the city patrolman involved as a look

24

3

Z

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

The look out man was Hop Barnett. out man. The tailer men were Roberts, Jordan, Snowden, Arledge, Doyle Barnett, and Sharpe. These men, you notice, were the young ones. The young boys who knew best about the plan than the others in the Klan, who knew best about what they were getting into, possibly not fully aware of what was going to take place because though it was difficult for them to withdraw,/ because it was too late, but always beside them were other men deep into the swamp, men of violence and fury, men who were going to kill anyone who broke away. The most violent of all was Wayne Roberts. Wayne Roberts is a big man, he beat the Negroes at the Mt. Zion Church, he was a hero with blood on his hands, he went to the Bloomo School, he was present at the meeting when Schwerner elimination was discussed, he was in the first car, Killen took him back to Philad elaphi with him, Roberts went with Killen first to make the final arrangements. Snowden, Arledge, Sharpe their involvement stems wholly on their identification with Jordan and their membership in the Klan. Tucker's participation is the Herman who drove the bulldozer is collaborated by the physical circumstances. The fact that the word was passed that they were going to be buried in a grave twelve to fifteen feet deep. Burrage and Tucker

e, e, st sibly 7 lraw. 8 he 9 ng 10 of 11 n, 12 5 13 omo 14 ler 15 16 erts 17 nts. 18 19 ir 20 21 by 22 1 23 24

ker

25

1

2

3

had such a contract, but the contract had not been executed at that time. They knew how high that dam was, but it wasn't a matter of common knowledge throughout Neshoba County, and the conspirators could not haveknown how much earth was going to be piled on that dam unless Tucker and Burrage or Tucker or Burrage were involved. Further, that burial was performed in twenty minutes, and its true that you probably could turn on a bulldozer by just turning your keys, but its not as simple as all of that to run the levers, you've got to run the tracks, you've got to pull the biade, when you push the blade forward to push the earth forward you've got to raise up that blade, and move back over it so that the bodies would be covered. This is no job for anyone who didn't know how to operate a bulldozer, this is no job for a bulldozer operator who didn't know the equipment and who didn't know the conditions and who didn't know the surrondings. Herman Tucker's participation is also collaborated by the fact that Herman was going to take care of the car and Herman testified that he was an experienced car burner. Olen Burrage is involved because the burial was on his place. There is an indispensable inference to me that this couldn't have been done without his participation.

Finally, there's Sheriff Lawrence Rainey. Sheriff Rainey was present in the Philadelphia area that night according to the radio calls, he was present at least in the range of the radio calls late that afternoon. Sheriff Rainey was present in the jail at ten minutes until twelve. Sheriff Rainey failed to act that night, and that in fact puts his Klan membership and his oath implicates thoroughly indirectly and puts him fully in this conspiracy. That leaves only two people.

The first is Travis Barnett. I think you should go out and return a verdict of not guilty against Travis Barnett. I don't think the verdict and the evidence is sufficient to warrant us asking you to find Travis Barnett guilty.

With respect to Horace Doyle Barnett however you have his confession. This confession can only be considered against him, but I want to run over it again with you to touch this so that you have it clearly in your mind. He wrote or the F. B. I. Agent wrote and he initialled on June 21st I was having supper at blank blank house, Meridian. Blank called on the telephone and told blank that the Klan had a job and wanted to know if I would go. Blank asked me if I would go and we went to blank in Meridian.

William A. Davis, Official Court Reporter, Jackson, Miss.

f

ŀď

.d

1

t

We did not know what the job was. Upon arriving we were met by blank, blank, Jim Jordan and blank. Blank told us that three civil rights workers were in jail in Philadelphia and that the three civil rights workers were going to be released from jail and that they were going to catch them and give them a whipping. We were given gloves. Blank, blank, and Jordan got into my car and we drove to Philadel-Blank and blank left before we did and we were told we would meet them there. When we arrived in Phildelphia we met blank and waited for someone to tell us were when the three civil rights workers were being released from jail. While we were talking blank stated that they had a place to buy them and a man to run the dozer to cover them up. This was the first time I realized that the three civil rights workers were to be killed. About five or ten minutes after we parked blank came to the car and said they are going toward Meridian on Highway 19. We proceeded and caught up to the Mississippi State Highway Patrol. We pulled into a store on the left side of the road. We pulled along side the patrol car and then another car from blank pulled in between us. I was driving a 57 Ford. Blank then drove away and we followed. I then drove

fast and caught up with the car the three civil rights workers were in, pulled over to the side and stopped. About a minute or two later blank came along and stopped beside my car. Price asked who was going to stop them and blank said that he would. We followed the civil rights workers and they turned off----

BY MR. ALFORD:

Your Honor please, just a minute. He's quoting names in this statement and we object to it. Its not there.

BY MR. WEIR:

Move the Court for a mistrial.

BY THE COURT:

Overruled, gentlemen, go along.

BY MR. DOAR:

We followed civil rights workers and turned off
Highway 19 on a side road and drove about a couple
of miles before blank told them to get out and get
into his car. At the junction of 19 and where we
turned off I had let blank out of the car to signal
the fellows in the car, and then it goes and tells
how they take them back up the road and they followed
about a mile up the road. We stopped and blank and I
stopped behind them with about a car length between
each car. Before I could get out of the car blank

and

along

We

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

ames

here.

d I

ran past my car to blank car, opened the left rear door, pulled Schwerner out of the car, spun him around so that Schwerner was standing on the left side of the road with his back to the ditch and said are you that nigger lover and Schwerner said, sir, I know just how you feel. Blank had a pistol in his right hand and then shot Schwerner. Blank then went back to blank car and got Goodman, took him to the left side of the road with Goodman facing the road and shot Goodman. When blank shot Schwerner blank had his hand on Schwerner's shoulder. When blank shot Goodman blank was standing within reach of him. Schwerner fell to the left, Goodman spun around and fell and then Jim Jordan said save one for me. He got out of blank car and got Chaney out. I remember Chaney backing up facing the road and standing on the back on the other side and Jordan stood in the middle of the road and shot him. I don't remember how many times Jordan shot. Jordan then said you did not leave me anything but a nigger, but at least I killed me a nigger. Then the three civil rights workers were then loaded into the bakk of the 63 Ford wagon. I don't know who put the bodies in the car but I only put Chaney's foot in the car. Blank then got into his car and drove back toward Highway

25

19. Blank, blank and Jordan then got into the 63 Ford and started up the road. Blank and blank and another person who I do not know the name or got into my car. I d not know the roads we took, but went through the outskirts of Philadelphia andto the dam site blank. When we arrived at the sam site someone said that the blank operator was not there. and blank and blank and I went in my car to find him. We drove out to a paved road and about a mile down the road we saw a blank parked on the left side of the road. Blank told me to stop and we backed up to this car. Two men were in the car. Blank said that they were already down there and blank said to follow them. I followed the blank back toward the dam site taking a different road. Blank said its just a little ways over there and blank and blank operator walked the rest of the way, and ti goes on we were then about we were there about thirty minutes when the other fellows came from the dam site in the 63 Ford. Blank got a glass gallon jug and filled it one half with gasoline to be used to burn the 1963 Ford car. It was about one to one-thirty in the morning. Blank, blank, Jordan, blank and I then got into my car and drove back toward Philadelphia. When we got to Philadelphia blank stopped us and we

into

am one

S

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

lim.

c

es

=

got blank, blank, blank and blank told us which way the civil rights workers were leaving town, got about. It was then about two o'clock. We talked for about two or three minutes and then someone said we better not talk about this and blank said I will kill anyone who talks even if its my brother. We then got back in the car and drove back to Meridian.

Members of the Jury, this is an important case. It is important to the government. Its important to the defendants, but most important, its important to the State of Mississippi. What I say, what the other lawyers say here today, what the Court says about the law will soon be forgotten, but what you twelve people do here today will long be remembered. Does not everyone see and understand that it was a matter of absolute necessity that you twelve people of Mississippi be asked to sit as jurors and judge this case? These defendants will stand before you on the record in this case and they will beg of you for indulgence. In effect they will say as Gloster said of old as he stood over the body of his slain king, he begged of the queen say I slew them not he The queen replied then say they were not slain, but they are dead. If you find that these men or that each of them is not guilty of this conspiracy it would be as true to say that there was no night time release from jail by Cecil Price, there were no white knights, there are no young men dead, there was no murder. If you find that these men are not guilty you will declare the law of Neshoba County to be the law of the State of Mississippi.

BY MR. WEIR:

We object and move for a mistrial.

BY THE COURT:

Overruled.

(Mr. Doar sat down)

ARGUMENT OF MR. WATKINS:

Please the Court, Members of the Jury, this humble argument is presented on behalf of Jimmy Arledge, James T. Harris, Travis Barnett, one who needs no argument, Jimmy Snowden, Frank Herndon, and Wayne Roberts.

Member of the Jury, there's no power om earth that can make the decision of the guilt or innocence of these defendants on the question of fact, except you, as how important as your duty is here today, is how important it is to the defendants. Soon now, this case will be in your hands as jurors to make that final decision. Only can this final decision

∍n

е

be made other than by you. Its your duty to analyze the facts and the evidence that you've heard from the witness stand, and relate that analyses in your minds as it applied or the law applies to that analyzes which the Judge will give you at the end of the arguments of this case. You will be permitted to consider reasonable inferences where they may arise, that's correct, but its also your duty and you have the right to excuse the insight and your intelligence as it relates to your experiences in making an analyses of that evidence you will follow the law that applied to that evidence.

Now, you will hear a great deal members of the jury in this case about a reasonable doubt. The Court will instruct you that it is the duty of the Government in this case to prove beyond a reasonable doubt the guilt of these defendants as charged in the indictment. Now, just what is a reasonable doubt. Without some high flying legal language, let's just taik about a reasonable doubt for just a minute. When you were selected, each and every one of you, on this jury at the very beginning of this trial some eight days ago, I believe that this Court felt like you were reasonable men and reasonable women, otherwise you would not have been allowed to remain on

this jury, and the lawyers for the defendants and the lawyers for the Justice Department felt like you were reasonable men and reasonable women or you would not have been allowed to remain; therefore, if after you make your analyses in this evidence in this case and listen to the law given to you by the Court and apply the law to this evidence, if arriving from that evidence or from the lack of that evidence there's a doubt in the minds of any single one of you, that is a reasonable doubt. The doubt of a reasonable That is my simple explanaman or a reasonable woman. tion of a reasonable doubt. How could it be other-Now, what's the theory of the Government's case? Actually itn't it a theory of this case that here in Mississippi, that there is so much hate and prejudice in Mississippi that we hate all outsiders, and that there is a group of people here in Mississippi so filled with that hate that they conspire together and meet together organize organizations to do away and murder outsiders that come into this Isn't that generally the theory of their case? State. What are the strong points of their case? Well, let's go back and see about one or two, that's about all they have, one or two. Everyone of them are dead. I believe everyone of us will believe that they are

you puld

er

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ırt

'Om

ere's

ana-

•

.

pire

0

se?

ıd.

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

22

24

25

Michael Schwerner, Andrew Goodman, and James Chaney all are dead. I believe you believed that before you ever came here, but you swore that that would not have any bearing on this case whatsoever. That's one of the strong points of their case because they want to use that to overshadow a so-called conspiracy case. What is another strong point of their case, that they met their deaths by some criminal I believe that. Could we doubt it? want to use that to overshadow this conspiracy case. They want to use that to overshadow. They want to overwhelm the charge of conspiracy with what Mr. John Doar called a midnight murder. That's what he called it in his argument. There is another point that might be considered a strong point and that's Mr. Hatcher's testimony, and Mr. Doar has gone over that. Yes, I'm glad that he pointed out to you, Members of the Jury, that there was one witness that came here that wasn't a paid witness. Mr. Hatcher was not a paid witness, but what did he tell you? Mr. Doar related a moment ago that he told you somebody said that somebody was killed in Philadelphia and buried in the bottom of a dam. Is that evidence enough to convict one of them on conspiracy? So there, their one strong witness, Mr. Hatcher, didn't

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

tell you one thing about any person meeting together to organize a plan to intimidate, threaten, mistreat, impair, or kill anyone. That's their one strong wintess, Mr. Hatcher. The rest of it is this pecunious, urgency to overshadow this case because some henious crime has been committed in the great State or Mississippi, and they can't connect it up in regard to a conspiracy.

The defendants are not required under the great system of our government to prove anything. Court will tell you that it is not necessary for one single defendant to take the witness stand or to come forward and prove one single thing. The mere fact that an indictment exist against these defendants is no evidence, whatsoever. The mere fact that they have been brought here together for you to try and are accused, is no evidence whatsoever. But, what did they do? Every single one of the defendants proved their whereabouts. With whom did they prove their whereabouts? Well, whatever you might think of their friends and their relatives who came here to testify and tell you where they were that night. Relatives and friends. If you were in trouble who could come and tell where you were on that night or on that occasion except your friends and your relatives.

tell you one thing about any person meeting together to organize a plan to intimidate, threaten, mistreat, impair, or kill anyone. That's their one strong wintess, Mr. Hatcher. The rest of it is this pecunious, urgency to overshadow this case because some henious crime has been committed in the great State or Mississippi, and they can't connect it up in regard to a conspiracy.

The defendants are not required under the great system of our government to prove anything. The Court will tell you that it is not necessary for one single defendant to take the witness stand or to come forward and prove one single thing. The mere fact that an indictment exist against these defendants is no evidence, whatsoever. The mere fact that they have been brought here together for you to try and are accused, is no evidence whatsoever. But, what did they do? Every single one of the defendants proved their whereabouts. With whom did they prove their whereabouts? Well, whatever you might think of their friends and their relatives who came here to testify and tell you where they were that night. Relatives and friends. If you were in trouble who could come and tell where you were on that night or on that occasion except your friends and your relatives.

22

23

What did Mrs. Benton say, where did she say he was. Members of the Jury, I never ask ladies what their age is, but Mrs. Benton came here and took the stand and in my judgment she would be about fifty seven or sixty years of age. Are you going to hold that that lady came up and held up her hand and swore a lie? Can you tell yourself that Frank Herndon was on that night planning a murder? What type of personwas he according to Mrs. Benton.? He was a man going back and forth to his place of business in attendance with Mrs. Benton, a two months old child, not a two year old child, members of the jury, but a two months old child. Bringing the child milk and bringing Mrs. Benton supper. Did you hear that type of testimony from paid informers? No, I tell you that a man that is in attendance with a two months old child just doesn't have those characteristics of going out and planning murders. You won't disregard that testimony will you? That still small voice based on that kind of evidence give rise in your reasonable minds? Every single one of these defendants produced the same type of witnesses. Pete Harris, Jimmy Snowden, Wayne Roberts. You are called upon to disregard every single one of them and follow the so-called theory of a conspiracy case which is nothing more than

S.

and

2

3

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

son

ing nce

ıg-

hild

t

ti-

?

ry

this is what he said, a midnight murder, that he wants you to overshadow and think it is.

You know, when you see the way the sage is blowing you always know which way the wind is blowing. is not doubt in mind that there is some inward national disease in our cultural society, there's no doubt about that in my mind, and I don't think there's much doubt about that in your minds. It might be called many names. Rioting, if you want to call it that, demonstration, arguments, COFO workers and organizers Core workers and organizers, hate peddlers, prejudice, whatevery you may wish to call it by, its a great hassle on our society and the nation and as a state. The real reason whatever it is is the absence of love and presence or disbelief and misunderstanding about God's purpose for man. There's no doubt about that. Now, I'm not a hate peddler and I don't believe in violence in any form. I don't believe in marches, in rioting, demonstrations, but there is one thing I do berieve, when a person, whatever his flight in life may be, and however he may characterize himself, but when he says there is no God and that God is dead that person whomever he may be exposes himself to many contradictory evil forces in this world. if they come from every numerous sources that you

can think of, and I say to you Members of the Jury, because that is the truth, its no reason why the strong arm of the Federal Government should come to Mississippi for three and a half years with their thousand and thousand of dollars and new jobs and gather the citizens of this county and others and point an accusing finger toward us with this type of testimony, paid informers, distributors of displace, and scapegoat witnesses. What type of dignity is that I ask you? I believe in the individual right of every man every citizen and I believe in the dignity of that citizen and equality of law for that individual, whatever his race or color or creed, and I believe that you do too. Does the Government really have any providence in their case? Well, let's see what they say themself. Mr. John Proctor, John Proctor is a fine gentlemen, I have great admiration for him, I feel like he's my friend and I'm certainly his friend. He took the stand at the request of the Government, he's a fine F. B. I. Agent, and he said, "we're still investigating." What's wrong don't they have any evidence? No, that's an acknowledgment that they are not certain, they are not sure, they are still investigating. What kind of case is this, this is not a murder case, it is

:у,

to

2

3

\$

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

of

зe,

that

.ty

nc

lly

·i-

ly

15

16

17

18

20

19

21

22

23

24

25

supposed to be a simple conspiracy case, said to have occurred under color of the law. Now, let me talk with you about this color of the law situation for just a few minutes. If there was some great power on earth that came to you as an individual and told you that you had to do something, and that it wouldn't be proper for you to do that, that you hand't been doing it, but you had better go do it, and you went and tried to do it and while you were trying to do it, you called in your coroner's jury to investigate something, doing your duty as representatives of the great State of Mississippi, somebody came along and said, "get out of the way, stop, we are doing this, you don't do that," and when you don't go and do it they come back and say we're going to prosecute you, we are going to come in and prosecute this case ourselves, we didn't want you to prosecute anybody for murder in Mississippi, why? Because we don't want to try a murder case in Mississippi but we want to try a conspiracy case and confuse the minds of the jury, that's why there are so many pictures in this world for you to look at, that's why we don't want you to prosecute it in Mississippi. Do you call that a conspiracy case? That's his midnight murder that he wanted you to

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

think about. I ask you, each and every one of you, not to extend the federal law beyond the instructions of this Honorable Court. If you consider anything other than a charge of conspiracy from the evidence in this case and relate to it, you are extending the arm of our great government in the state of affairs wherein I believe yourself appreciate a bow of powers between the state and federal government. believe you believe in the state enforcing its own law, and in those laws and those laws along and if this case is overshadowed in the manner in which the government has presented it, you are certainly doing nothing more than rescinding a law that the courts and that Congress themselves have not extended, and I respectfully ask you not to do that.

Now, what about the testimony. Let's go a little closer to it. For two days, they presented testimony in this courtroom enmasse. They produced witnesses, exhibits, yes, exhibits are evidence, they have produced a tremendous amount of evidence and there has been a tremendous amount of taxpayers money spent on this case, and certainly I think thatmoney should be spent where the law has been violated, but what did they come up here with? Paid informers. A person who will testify for money, ladies and gentle-

25

ons

3

1e

ŝ

ιe

g

le

ny

nt

Ē

men, when he's being paid for what he says, gets so close to that fine line, distinguishing between the truth and what's not the truth. So now, how are you going to draw the line? What are you going to base the division of that line on? When he says I represented myself to be for months and months and months and now that was all wrong when I made that representation but I'm here now telling you the truth because its important, after they received that money, what division are you going to make? Let's talk about Wallace Miller. Wellace Miller testified under oath, if that's worth anything to you that there were no threats, no intimidation, no planning, no scheming to harm anybody in his presence. He professes to be a law enforcement officer. told you he joined the Klan in good faith. All right if he joined the Klan in good faith, and Delmar Dennis joined the Klan in good faith, and they knew these things were going on all during 1964 until sometime late in the Fall when they start getting money Members of the Jury, what does that show in your minds? The weakest of the evidence here. The government, if the government had a known they had been there when everything was going on that was unlawful would Did they promise them the government prosecute them?

3

4

19 - 10 mg

j

8

10

9

11

12 13

14

15

1

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

immunity from prosecution also? No, let's don't extend that type of thing. The Justice Department, let—s look at it like this, they don't have anything anyway concerning conspiracy, unlawful conspiracy, because they could not condone others going free and uncharged so it shows a weakness of that testimony a weakness of their case. My goodness what cause would a reasonable woman and a reasonable man be to give rise to a reasonable doubt in your minds.

Well, what was the substance of Miller's testimony? On cross examination he really sumed it up when he said they said, my friends he called them his friends, they said they talked about not liking what the civil rights workers were doing in the State of Mississippi. Is that a reason to prosecute anybody for conspiracy, because they don't like what somebody is doing and they wish to discuss it? I think there is about four hundred thousand people in Mississippi at that time that didn't like what they were doing. Wallace Miller said he didn't like what they were doing, Reverend Dennis said he didn't like what they were doing, and just prior to that time there was a honorable man running all over the State of Mississippi saying never, never, never, I don't like what they're doing. There was another honorable

ng

nd

C

nony?

ids.

ıdy

:e

i

У

citizen who stood tall because he didn't like what they were doing. Where are they? Are they being prosecuted because they stood up and said they didn't like what they were doing? Oh no, we'll take these little fellows and take them away from their wives and their babies on that type of evidence because they said to someone, because a paid informer said they said that as they met for whatever reason they were meeting, and I don't like what theCivil Rights Workers are doing in Mississippi, what type of equality is that? What dignity does that dispute of our great government.

Now, I want to talk to you about the Reverend

Delmar Dennis. He's a man of many talents, a man of
the cloth, a writer, and now he's going away and write
some more. He's going to write about this case. A

Judas witness, a Dr. Jekell and a Mr. Hyde all came
during 1964, yet a man of the cloth, a man who people
wished to place their confidence in, keeper of a
snake pits, a distributor of snake reptiles, what
kind of dignity is that? Whatever it was his primary
product here is paid information, paid for information.
Whatever that many may have heard at the meetings he
was in couldn't have been a violation of the law, because he's here today only as a witness, and as I

le

said a moment ago about Wallace Miller and that about Delmar Dennis and I say it to your minds in the strong est way I know, that any evidence in connection with this case with regard to conspiracy just doesn't exist because it is weak. Your government promised immunity from prosecution. Equality, what kind of equality do you call this? You know I think about Delmar Dennis during the time of 1964, he was preaching during that time, he told you he was a Chaplain for an organization called the White Knights of the Ku Klux Klan. He told you me met with those young fellows and called their names for whatever purpose they were meeting, he told you he had prayer with them and he talked with them. I wonder if he talked to them and told them and reminded them "I shall not bear false witness against God" and while he was doing that, saying that to them, representing himself to be something and to represent something that he was not, something that he was going to talk about later for the government, talking about remarks made by defendants, that they didn't like civil rights workers, to come here with this type thing to have somebody prosecuted, he must have misread that great admonition, "A greater love hath no man than to lay down his life for a friend."

With him greater love hath no men for money, and he exposed someone who placed confidence in him because they thought he was a man of his word of his representation. And when he came here, what did he say? No, Mr. Watkins sir, they didn't want to have anything to do with Michael Schwerner. Was that negative? In the meeting you were talking about? Yes sir. And where is the conspiracy, if there is no conspiracy, there is no case. Delmar Dennis didn't like what they were doing. He's a writer and he tells you about it. I'm going to get to this little pamphlet in a few minutes, but I want to get to you about thes paid witnesses. Members of the Jury, I know you know what an old

members of the Jury, I know you know what an old scapegoat is. Its nothing but just an billy goad with a bell on it, and they use him to bring all of the other innocent animals into the slaughtering house, or the slaughtering pen, and when they get there and they close the gate, the let the old scapegoat go and they gn on with their slaughtering, and that's exactly what Jim Jordan is. But the most miraclious thing about that, I knew the government used that before, they have in years gone by, and all the times I've been engaged in the practice of law I never new a State or a Government in the presentation of their

out

.th

ach-

in

yer

re-

king

ı t

nave

rong

ad .

2

3

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2021

22

23

25

ath 24

case to try to blow hot and cold in the same breadth. They got in here and they put Jim Jordan on the stand and he sat up there with his eyes all bugged out and he just rattles it off like that, just exactly what happened, he said. Then, the government, just a little bit later, brings a statement and say you ought to convict somebody onwhich impeaches almost everything he said. I just don't see how the government can have so many theories of these case and then represent to you there's no reasonable doubts, there's no mistake. You heard Mr. Doyle say you ought to turn Travis Barnett loose, well, certainly you ought to, but that's just an example of the mistakes they've made about this case and the mistake they are making about it today. They knew every centilla of evidence before they came here, the last nine days, they knew exactly what it was, they can interpellate it anyway they saw fit, they heckle these men and their familes for three and three and something years. On his job, in his home or whatever it might be, knowing exactlywhat they have, then all the way to the United States Supreme Court and back, and then they sit up here in front of this jury of Mississippi and say, "are you going to turn them aloose?" What kind of extension of the arm of the great government is that?

1 2 3 ust 4 lent, 5 iy 6 .most 7 m-8 9 3, 10 ought 11 12 ses 13 are 14 of 15 s, 16 ate 17 18 ears. 19 know-20 .e 21 .ey and :ind

22

23

24

25

s that

Somebody of this type case, the errors, the weakness, the mistakes, if they consider this so-called confession of Doyle Barnett of any value or worth anything these District Attorneys around here would certainly like to have gotten hold of it to prosecute somebody. I'm sure old Johnson up there in Philadelphia would still like to have it. Well, how could it be worth anything if they are not willing to turn it over and give the State of Mississippi an opportunity to do something about it. Rembmber that little example I gave you a while ago? They say you've got to do something and they say you'd better not, they stop you, then if you don't do it, we're going to do it That's the type statement or so-called confession that they, themselves thought was insufficient to turn it over to a Honorable District Attorney in Mississippi for prosecution. Yet they ask you to convict these defendants, every single one of these defendants, except Travis Barnett, don't make that blaring mistake so that they can be blamed. What type of so-called confession is that? Covington argues that point, because that's his client, but if you believe that type of thing is worth anything, they certainly haven't conducted theirselves along that line.

I want to talk to you just a few minutes about the Ku Klux Klan. Now, I don't know whether there is any Ku Klux Klan organized to work in this area or not. In your minds you may think so, in my mind, I may think so, and I don't know where a single person that I represent is a member of the Ku Klux Klan, and I'm not here to defend the Ku Klux Klan, but let's assume here now for a moment for the sake of this case that everyone of them are a member of Ku Klux Klan if you want to. You said, after you took your oath, that if after you heard all of the evidence, that along would not direct your judgment toward any guilt in this case, because membership alone in that organization ar any other organization is sufficient to convict anybody, and I believe his Honor on the bench will so tell you in his instructions. Well, I don't intend to defend any organization, but if you would look at this so-called constitution on page 28 and read what it says in the manner in which they have their meetings, according to Delmar Dennis' testimony, all Kaln meetings shall be conducted in a Christian manner to stimulate the spiritual awareness and awareness of Almighty God in all Klan meetings. No intoxicated person nor those participating in any type of intoxicating

25

ì,

er

ou

ıt

Lon

Ls

the

ng

ail

:he

beverages will be allowed in or subsequently remain in any Klan meeting or in its vicinity. Punishment shall be assessed against any members for violation of Christian reverence during the meetings. Well, I don't belong to the Order, I have nothing to do with it, if the Government knows one thing, they know that I'm not a member of it, but, if I were to compare those purposes that the Government has brought in its evidence, not us, to some of the organizations that you know are working in this State of Mississippi, I think it will speak for itself. The point I'm trying to make, Members of the Jury, that membership in it, if you believe they were members, is not guilt, you can not have guilt simply because of membership in an organization. There is not one centilla of physical evidence in this case to connect these defendants in any way with a conspiracy to do any murder any where, not one single thing, not one single physical piece of evidence anywhere can you think of. They have even acknowledged theirselves that it doesn't exist and then he gets up here and tell you they don't need physical evidence to set up conspiracy cases, they need some type of evidence, Members of the Jury, some type, something must show an unlawful purpose

William A. Davis, Official Court Reporter, Jackson, Miss.

and it must be something, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury other than some person making the remark they didn't like what was going on in Mississippi, because if that's a crime, there are a lot of guilty people in Mississippi. Delmar Dennis, they used him to try and connect up some type of claim of conspiracy, he and Wallace Miller, that's what they were here for. Well, Ive already discussed that witness with you, but I want to take up one more thing with you about Delmar Dennis and that is this pamphlet. He says he is a writer and he wrote this pamphlet, strangely enough, its copyrighted in 1964. He's not being prosecuted and he says in so in this pamphlet, he says, "if their lives were miærable, they made it that way." I don't condonce any such statement as that, but my goodness, isn't that three or four or five hundred times worse than what these boys said when they said they didn't like what was going on in Mississippi. Isn't a statement like that so much worse? Let's look over here on page 5 of this exhibit. He just keeps on talking about it. He says Mississippi is the land of opportunity for all races, its not the land for idle people of any race if life in Mississippi is Miserable for the agitators who come here its because they make it that way.

the У him iracy, for. ut : he asing so his all -ace ators

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Isn't that more than what my clients said? But he's not here, except as a fifteen thousand dollar informer. He says I've lived in Philadelphia in Neshoba County where the three so-called Civil Rights Workers were killed, I have crossed Pearl River on a foot log, I'v spent many wonderful hours in that much lied about area, it is incredible that anyone should die a violent death in our state, and certainly I agree with himon that. We do not know, however, who the killers are, that's 1964, Members of the Jury, and he puts it down in here, he doesn't know anything It may be well that these young men were sacrificed by their own kind for publicity or other Whatever the outcome of the case may be reasons. two observations here are...and he just keeps on talking about it, and he states that it is reported that they went to investigate a church burning, were they despised by some law enforcement agency to be special investigators. So far as I have been able to determine they had no authority to be there, they broke the laws of that county by speeding and they violated the American constitution of messing in local affairs in a local community. Of course, whatever I say about the case is my opinion, I wouldn't no more go to New York or some other

troubled area and tell them how to run their business than I would tell God how to run the universe. is their business, Mississippians rightfully resent some hairy beatnik from another state visiting our state with hate and defying out people. It is my opinion that the so-called workers are not workers at all, but low-class riff-raff,/misfits in our own land. If the people of Mississippi need help in solving our problems we'll call upon those who are capable of helping. We; ll not send for a bum to help manage our finances or communist to save our govern-Where is his indictment? Is anything occurred in a Klan meeting that Wallace Miller testified about, Delmar Dennis testified about, is any statement like that put in writing? Do you think your government condones violation of the law for one person and pays one to come here and prosecute another who is not near so guilty. That's not equality under the law, and I don't think you'll hold by your verdict that it is.

Now, Ladies and Gentlemen of the Jury, the defense does not have the opportunity any more to talk about this case. The government has a right to close it, under our system if his Honor grants that right to them. Mr. Hauberg may argue this case or he may not.

2425

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1.0

1.1

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

ess at t. 3 Wn help ernirred about, like nt pays

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

pays

nat it

defense about

: it,

ay not.

He may not consider this case worth arguing or he may argue it, but I have a lot of respect for that man, he's a fine District Attorney, and I think of him as my friend and I'm his friend, and he has a lot of talen and persuasiveness and I've heard him before, but I ask you to please do one thing, and I believe the Court would ask you to do the same thing, and that is that you vote your own conviction in this case. If you happen to be one either in the majority or the minority you hold to it, and I say to you that time and expense is of no concern in this case, certainly you believe that. government has shown you that, maybe four million dollars strong, so time and expense is of no criteria in this case. Hold to your own convictions. You air line the evidence and if that evidence or the lack of that evidence doesn't give rise to that still small voice, that there is a reasonable doubt, you just keep it that way and beware, and in justice more may be done. So many/other than Travis Barnett. so much better and I think the law provides that a thousand guilty ones go free than one innocent defendant here be convicted. I place the welfare of my clients in your hands. Thank you.

We'll take a fifteen minute recess.

(Whereupon the Court took a recess at 10:20 A. M.)

AFTER RECESS:

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

BY MR. COVINGTON:

Mayit please the Court, Member of the Jury. represent Doyle Barnett, and I come before you now to speak for Doyle Barnett. Nine days ago, I was instrumental in helping pick you as a jury. Ι did so because I felt you to be reasonable people. Now, I want to use my alloted time to walk down the road of reasoning with you. I want you to walk with me on that road of reasoning as I give you my analyses of the case. First, I want to talk with you about some of the witnesses for the Government. I want to talk with you, Members of the Jury, because that's where the government's case started, with their witnesses. One of the witnesses that impressed me most was the Reverend Charles Johnson. You will remember him. He's a part-time preacher, \$200.00 a month. On the government's payroll, \$400.00 a month. Sum him up, he's a paid witness. That's what he was. The next witness that I made a note about was Mr. John Proctor, Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, marked the map, on the government payroll, sum him up. A paid

24

,) OW S e. the with alyses ut t :hat's me)0

2

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

se

the

The next witness, Jay Cochran, Jr. Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation. He was an expert on burned automobiles, burned watches and a photographer, on the government's payroll. him up if you will as a paid witness. Next, Mr. Vincent R. Coyle, Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, all he did was to handle a package, he's on the government payroll, add him up, sum him up, he's a paid witness. Next, is Joseph Lee Gormley, Special Agent, Federal Bureau of Investigation, also a package handler, also on the government payroll, sum him up - paid witness. George Berley, Special Agent with the Federal Bureau of Investigation, lock expert, fire expert, experts, experts, experts, government payroll, sum him up, paid witness. Wallace Miller, policeman, Kleagle and Informer, \$3000 worth of informing, add him up, paid witness. Dan Bailey, Special Agent Fingerprint expert examiner, Federal Bureau of Investigation, government payroll, sum him, paid witness. Delmar Dennis, preacher, informer, a \$15,000.00 informer, snake handler, a man whose wife wouldn't believe him under oath, sum him up, paid witness. Henry Rask, Special Agent, F. B. I., nervous witness, sum him up, paid witness. James

Wooten, Special Agent, F. B. I., a very nervous witness, on the government's payroll, sum him up, paid witness.

BY MR. HAUBERG:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

May it please the Court, I don't believe Mr. Wooten actually testified, and we respectfully request the Court to instruct the Jury.

BY THE COURT:

Overruled, go along.

BY MR. COVINGTON:

James Jordan, \$8,000.00 informer, mystery witness, confessed criminal, a man who no other witness would believe under oath, sum him up, paid witness. have we had here? We've had a government payroll, that's what we've had. Now, for my client's benefit, let's take my client's payroll. Let's take Doyle Barnett's Barnett. Let's take the people he brought. He brought four people from Bossier Parish, Lousiana, they thought enough of him because they paid for their own expense, they came before you as strangers in a strange place because of their feelings for Doyle Barnett. They told you openly, they told you frankly that they knew him to be a person of good character and reputation. W. E. Waggoner, Sheriff of Bossier Parish, Louisiana, Chief Law Enforcement Officer,

cen ne s, ould hat 1, efit, е ught. iana, : their n a

.е

:ankly

cter

sier

٠,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

friend, how many of us could get a man such as this to go and drive seven hours on his own expense to testify for us. His type is not for sale.

Phillip Kircus, Minister, an unpaid witness, a friend, his type is not for sale. You sum him up.

J. T. Snyder, business man, friend, his type is not for sale, you add his balance up. James Shaver, business man, long time friend, unpaid witness, you can't find people like him. He's not for sale.

That's all in the books.

We've talked a lot today, and there will be more talk about equal rights under the law. The great equalizer, Members of the Jury, is the Jury. You are the great equalizer in a great society. In another few minutes what I've said here will be forgotten and done, but what you say and do here today will never be forgotten. Your action, your decision will determine the future course of Doyle Barnett's life. I can sat down with a clear conscious I've done my job, and I commit Doyle Barnett to you for his life and your conscious.

Now, I want to say something about this confession.

I won't pretend that nothing has been said about it,
we all know that. The Court will instruct you, and
this is the instruction that I, Doyle Barnett's

lawyer, want you to listen for because this instruction to me is the most important instruction that the Court will give you. The Court will instruct you that if you believe from the evidence presented from that witness stand that that statement or confession was not voluntarily given then you must totally disregard that statement in its entirety. That is the law that the Court will give you, that is a charge that he will give you as a Jury.

Now, recall if you will, the paid witness, the Special Agent for the Federal Bureau of Investigation that testified. Oh yes, we informed him of all of his rights, certainly, he just came in and sat down and told us everything. Remember, if you will, when we questioned him and pressed him what he said. well, we did talk to him on two or three occasions, we did stay in a motel room for five or six or seven hours, there were two of us, there was one of him, I don't remember if his wife was pregnant or not, I don't remember if he wanted to go home, he could have left anytime he wanted to. I don't know how many times we went over it with him, yes, I'm a lawyer, that's what he said. No, Mr. Doyle Barnett is not a lawyer, I'm a trained investigator, Mr. Doyle Barnett is not a

1

2

3

4

5

6

cucttne 2 3 from 4 sion 5 dis-6 the ge 8 he 10 ation 11 of 12 own 13 when Oh ms, even m, ٠, ıld

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

trained investigator. Voluntary or involuntary, that is the question, that's the only question. There is not one thread of evidence presented to you to link Horace Doyle Barnett with any of the charges in this indictment, with the exception of his confession or statement provided by a Special Agent for the Federal Bureau of Investigation, a paid witness. I followed with great interest, Mr. Doar's opening statement about the government's interest in this case, and that the only interest that the government had in this case was to see that justice was done in our area, contrary to local authorities have any power or say so in this case. Mr. Doar was assuming and he's asking you to assume that no one would do anything to bring anyone to justice, he would have you believe that the Federal Government is the great protector of us all. Think back, if you will, when the events we've been concerned about here, first occurred. What federal law was being violated at that The Federal Bureau of Investigation took over before there was ever any evidence that a crime had ever been committed. That's an awful big assumption on their part. I followed, with great interest, Mr. Doar's statement about how they investigated this case. He said the Particularly, in regard to Mr. Jordan.

government persuaded him to quit running, to tell the truth, to tell what he knew, how did they persuade him to quit running? They paid him \$8,000.00, he is not here being tried with the rest of these men, he's free, is that their manner of persuasion. Why did anyone feel it necessary to explain their position? Why did anyone in a court of law under our American system of justice think they have to stand before you, twelve reasonable people, and explain why they are prosecuting someone? Why did they feel called upon to do that? He stated further to you that it is not important who you believed fired the shots, why? This was a statement made to justify the government the Federal Government turning James Jordan aloose. heard two witnesses testify from that witness stand that James Jordan told them that he killed them. of them, I believe, testified that he killed all three of them, another testified that he killed the negro. Then they came and said, turn Travis Barnett aloose, we made a bad mistake, turn Travis Barnett out, turn him a loose. I think they made eighteen mistakes. As I said, my argument is not going to be a long one. I don't think I can add anything to the elements of the other attorneys, I only know this, I have watched you very carefully for nine days, I know you to be

csuade a is he's id on? can e you, re pon not This he You and One three gro. ose, turn e2€ As le. s of atched

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

be

reasonable people, and the Court will instruct you that if there is a reasonable doubt in any of your minds, a reasonable doubt, then you should turn that man aloose. Your' reasonable people and there's no doubt in my mind that there's a doubt in yours.

Thank you.

MR. PIGFORD'S ARGUMENT:

May it please the Court, Members of the Jury, I am still cold. Not from the air conditioning, but it runs cold chills up and down my spine and in my whole being to sit here and see how the government can embarress people and particularly my own client, whom I know is an innocent man of any conspiracy. chills my whole being to know what abuse he has taken. How much inconvenience he has been to. What effect it has had on his health, and how it has affected What suspicion has been thrown upon him. I know he is an innocent man of any conspiracy that I have seen any witnesses say anything about this lawsuit. My government's doing it and that's the reason it kills me. It disturbs me and I'm going to be disturbed about it a long time. They say Mr. Akin is involved in a conspiracy because a confessed killer, James Jordan, stated that he got gasoline for his car when he worked for Mr. Akin at the time, to make a

25

trip at the time. That's one connection that Mr. Aki has with this case. You will remember Mr. D. B. Burnside, who testified that he was in Mr. Akin's office on this night and that man has no reason to lie about it, and he didn't lie about it and he was asked to recall that and he was perfectly truthful with this Court and this Jury. He said Mr. Akin asked him to remember that after Mr. Akin was arrested. He did go back and try to recollect at that time where he had This was an important occasion for Mr. Burnside. He was there talking about a gain in occupation. Mr. Akin was talking to him about hiring him to go open up another lot in Louisiana. Mr. Burnside had no reason to lie about that, and I'm sure he satisfied this jury that he was there at that time on that date and that Mr. Akin was there. Mr. Burnside also stated that there was a lot of people around there at this trailer sales place, he saw nobody come in and make any telephone calls. There are many places around this particular place of business where telephone calls could be made, and if they were made by James Jordan, they were not made in Mr. Akin's office, nor he had no connection with them whatsoever. want to call your attention to the fact that the government attorney said we are going to beg your

. Akin

S

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

to lie

asked

h this

to

id go

had

rnside.

Mr.

pen

10

ied

: date

stated

zhis |

nake

und

ae

17

18

19

ames 20

21

, nor 22

130

24

ur 25

indulgence, I don't beg your indulgence, I beg your attention to go over with me further the facts which the government claim connects Mr. Akin with this conspiracy. I took voluminous notes on all of the testimony. I don't find anybody, any withess placing Mr. Akins in the vicinity of anybody that it says to have been part of a conspiracy except Wallace Miller and you remember him. That Mr. Akin, he said now, attended a meeting at which Mr. Miller was present. He was asked by the government, one of the government attorneys, if he was a member of the Klan. He said all I know is thathe attended meetings. No witness has said, particularly Mr. Miller that he was out swearing people in and being present when people were sworn in Not even the government's witnesses said that Mr. Akin was ever sworn in in any Klan, and I challenge the government's attorney on it, nobody has said and its not in this record, all that was said by Mr. Miller was that he looked around the room at the government's request and asked him, do you see anybody in this room that was at any of the meetings you were in, and he named Mr. Akin on two occasion. What did they discuss? Klan business. Did they intimidate anybody? No, and has Mr. Akin ever said anything to you about these people who it was claimed were inti-

midated? No, --has he discussed with you anyone being intimadated by anybody, no..What else was said? Now, what about, well, I just can't bring myself to call him Reverend. He just don't live up to my ideal of a Minister, now or in the past, so I'll just have to call him Mr. Mr. Dennis said that Mr. Akin went up to a meeting in Neshoba County. I asked him how he knew. Well he said he was in his car when Mr. Dennis left. How do you know he went there? Well I saw him there. What did he do while he was there? He stayed in the meeting. I asked him if he went out when the others went out and he said, no sir, Mr. Akin remained there. Mr. Dennis was asked if Mr. Akin ever intimidated anybody or if he knew whether Mr. Akin made any statement about intimidating anybody and he answered no. These were his friends, Mr. Dennis' friends, he joined this organization he says for a good purpose, but he later admitted that he went in there on his own good conscious and said I went in there to try to impose. I say to you that he is not worthy of belief by a jury, not now, not on any occasion when he was present. We brought a man here who lived next to him for three years in the Pine Springs Community who said his reputation was bad for truth and veracity, and this witness had no

being

1

2

3

4

5

ģ

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

to

just

in

him Mr.

said

i he

.S

sir,

Mr.

ler 14

iy- 15

Mr. 16

ays 17

I 19

it he 20

n 21

ıan 22

23

as 24

no 25

reason to lie to this jury. Now, I want to ask
you. Could you convict anybody under that state
of proof? He can not be convicted on surmise
conjecture or such, and I trust that you will see
it that way.

MR. HENDRICKS ARGUMENT:

May it please the Court, Members of the Jury, I want to talk with you for just a very few minutes here. I am Tom Hendricks and representing Mr. Akin. I spent twenty-five years in the F. B. I. before I retired and started practicing law. When I cross examined the man about these fingerprints I asked him to show them to you carefully. I say to you again, that this is an example of how desperate the government is to get some proof in this case. They bring a men in here who said this print came from one of those dead people after the doctor said they were so badly decomposed after having been buried underground for six weeks that there was nothing left. They would have to believe that one of those prints is from one of those dead people. They come in here with what they say is a print from the Selective Service record, which is a b unch of bloob to me. They claim they found eight points of identification, which shows one